HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 16 AUGUST 1972

Remimeo

(Reissued 17 May 1989. Only change is to correct a typographical error made by the original Mimeo typist. Correction is in script.)

C/S Series 84

FLUBLESS C/Sing

A C/S cannot C/S flublessly while he has ANY auditors flubbing.

The standard procedure is:

- 1. The C/S makes sure tech courses are taught okay and raises hell until they are.
- 2. C/S makes sure Qual has a Cramming Officer and crams him until he gets flubless Cramming and can supervise TRs, do W/Cing Method 7, Method 6, Method 4, can correct metering and has packs to hand for reference.
- 3. The C/S follows a very standard handling of auditors:
 - A. One error of any kind instruct by reference to HCOB.
 - B. A second error of any kind send to Cramming and get the auditor crammed at once, without any loss of auditing time but before the auditor is allowed to audit further. (This is 2 hours, not 2 days!)
 - C. A third error of any kind RETREAD, wherein the auditor's weak areas are located and the auditor has to M7, M6, M4 and restudy the materials of that area. This takes the auditor back to step A.

A retread under a good Supervisor takes 4 or 5 days.

Now if the auditor again errs he goes to step A.

If he goes the route again he hits RETRAIN and is retrained fully like any other student. His PRD is done or verified and he goes through the course starting with basic books.

This puts the auditor back to A.

But if he now lands at RETRAIN again, he is given a full and complete RETRAIN from his earliest contacts with the subject.

It is highly unlikely he will flub further but if he does, he should not be on auditing at all.

FALSE REPORTS

A falsified auditing report puts the auditor at once at retrain as he is not sufficiently aware of the potentials of the subject to know he $\underline{\operatorname{can}}$ get results and does not have to be dishonest.

HCOB 16.8.72 Reiss 17.5.89

TR O

OT Zero and TR O are the key to good auditing.

Two C/Ses were found in orgs who "wouldn't let the auditors do TR O because of their cases." Both orgs had horrible stats and bad results and ARC broken fields.

OT Zero and TR O are a routine action for auditors.

They do TRs in spare time, not because they are being crammed, just to get professional.

Every Cramming Order includes TRs, especially Zero, to also be done on the auditor's own time.

 $\frac{This}{mainly}$ gets the auditor up to really confronting. His errors come mainly from an inability to confront (and from faulty metering or misunderstoods or out-ethics).

OT Zero and TR O are the keys to flubless auditing.

ELECTRONIC ATTEST

Auditors using LRH tapes and electronic attest (and with OT Zero, TR O, metering and Mis-Us cleaned up and ethics in) become very spectacular auditors in terms of results.

Results bring pride.

Auditors who get results are happy auditors.

And the above is how, the standard how, to get them to get results.

EASY C/Sing

Only if he spends some of his time TRAINING, as above, can a C/S ever get down to really C/Sing cases and getting programs DONE.

SUMMARY

The above is the way I C/S and handle auditors as a C/S.

I long since found that the flubby auditors were the ones who consumed the C/S time. The ratio is 2 1/2 hours to 6 1/2 hours wherein it only takes me 2 1/2 hours to C/S piles of folders when I have the auditors auditing honestly and flublessly and it takes me 6 1/2 hours when I have some flubbers.

It is neither kind nor decent to let auditors lose.

Only when I (or MSH) have not been doing the C/Sing has auditing gone wrong in any area where I was.

This is traced directly to the drop-out of the above actions. So it is the above actions which give standard results, and any ${\hbox{\it C/S}}$ who omits them (to be a good fellow or "these are my friends") is an auditor killer.

Auditors sometimes achieve a high status and are "above being crammed." Well watch it, watch it because they will fall on their heads with a crash.

An auditor is not unlike a race horse. He needs a lot of care and handling. And he needs his periodic drills and exercises or he goes sloppy. Like a race horse, a good auditor is very, very valuable. And all good auditors are made by C/Ses!

The proof is that even the best go bad when they no longer have a tight C/S rein. Experience has taught that. The exceptions are very, very few and you don't have any of them.

It takes me about 3 or 4 weeks to get an auditor through his course and doing a good flubless job. The majority of Scientologists want to be auditors. So you have auditor scarcity? That's a laugh.

It's the C/S! The Course Supervisor, the Cramming Officer.

And it's done just exactly as above.

Given the materials, there is \underline{no} other answer. So stop dreaming of hiring or getting perfect auditors.

The ones you have are fine.

Get more.

And do the above!!!

The auditors must not blame the pc (nor must you), the $\mbox{C/S}$ must not blame the auditor.

It's you, the Course Supervisor and the Cramming Officer. And mainly you the ${\sf C/S}$.

You can and must build a corps of good auditors.

Or you'll never make it as a C/S.

And listen, if you don't make it as a C/S, where's the world?

L. RON HUBBARD Founder

LRH:nt.bh.gm.sak